

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,919	TIMOFEEV ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Trinh Vo Dinh	2821	

All Participants:

(1) Trinh Vo Dinh (Primary Examiner).

(2) Robert C. Klinger (Attorney).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

6,885,352

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to rearrange the limitations in claims 1 and claim 30 in order to provide antecedent basis for claimed element of "said dielectric member". In addition, claim 10 has been agreed to further limitation of "at an angle less than 90 degrees with respect to the ground plane". Doing so would comply with 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph and overcome the cited art of record in order to place the application in a condition for allowance.